UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,173	04/01/2004	Shyh-Hsing Wang	3313-1143PUS1	7367
	7590 03/05/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		CRUZ, IRIANA		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/814,173	WANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	IRIANA CRUZ	2625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable and any objection to the content of	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached office	7. CHOT OF TOTAL 102.		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/16/2008,05/18/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/08/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 12 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Katsuyama (US Patent Number 7,268,919 B2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshito (JP Publication Number 2002-027249) in view of Katsuyama (US Patent Number 7,268,919 B2).

Regarding Claim 1, Yoshito'249 shows a memory management method for error diffusion comprising the steps of: dividing an image to be processed into a plurality of blocks (i.e., an input image is divided into a plurality of blocks side by side. See Paragraphs 11-14 and See Figure 2); filling an initial region of a block according to an error diffusion method (i.e., the blocks are generated/filled with error diffusion to the near block of each block ((in order from first to last)). See Paragraph 11-14 and 43); performing error diffusion in order for each of the pixels in the block (i.e., blocks contains pixels, and the error diffusion is calculated for the blocks. See

Application/Control Number: 10/814,173

Art Unit: 2625

Paragraph 11-14, 29 and 43); and performing the error diffusion method for each of the blocks to complete halftone processing (i.e., Half toning equipment to perform error diffusion. See Paragraph 13).

Page 3

Yoshito'249 fails to specifically show the memory management method reserving the pixels that are not processed in the final region of the block to the next adjacent block.

Katsuyama'919 teaches a memory management method reserving the pixels that are not processed in the final region of the block to the next adjacent block (i.e., each block can be divided further considered as a group, the error diffusion is done from top left block and sequentially proceeds to the rest of the pixels/blocks, the original divided block while the top left part is being processed the part of the block that hasn't been done yet at that moment is considered to be part of the processed block that will be done next as the beginning of another block. See Column 30, Lines 51-67 also See Figures 6 and 9).

Having the system of Yoshito'249 and then given the well-established teaching of the Katsuyama'919, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system as suggested by the combination of Yoshito'249 with the teachings of Katsuyama'919 by adding memory management method reserving the pixels that are not processed in the final region of the block to the next adjacent block, in order to accelerate image data conversion through the use of error diffusion see abstract.

Regarding Claim 5, Yoshito'249 shows a method wherein the step of dividing an image to be processed into a plurality of blocks divides the image into a plurality of arrayed blocks (i.e., an input image is divided into blocks side by side. See Paragraph 11 and See Figure 2).

Regarding Claim 6, Yoshito'249 shows a method wherein the arrayed blocks are regular rectangular blocks (i.e., the input image is divided into rectangular block.

See Paragraph 14).

Regarding Claim 7, Yoshito'249 shows a method wherein the step of dividing an image to be processed into a plurality of blocks divides according to the error diffusion method (i.e., the image is divide in blocks where each block has its error diffusion calculated to the near block henceforth. See Paragraph 11-13).

Regarding Claim 9, Yoshito'249 shows a method wherein the step of filling an initial region of a block according to an error diffusion method filling the initial region of the block with required image data so that the pixels in the initial region are to be error diffused (i.e., error diffusion is performed in each block and is offset to the next one following an order. See Paragraphs 12-13, 32 and 51).

Regarding Claim 10, Yoshito'249 shows a method wherein the image data being filled are pixels that are not processed in its adjacent blocks (i.e., the blocks are filled in order, the pixels that did not made it into the previous block will be used to fill the next block. See Paragraphs 32 and 51).

Claims 2-4, 8, 11-15, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshito (JP Publication Number 2002-027249) in view of Katsuyama

Art Unit: 2625

(US Patent Number 7,268,919 B2) and further in view of Hattori (US Publication 2003/0123093 A1).

Regarding Claim 2, the combination of Yoshito'249 and Katsuyama'919 fails to show a method wherein the size of each divided block is smaller than the size of memory.

Hattori'093 teaches a method wherein the size of each divided block is smaller than the size of memory (i.e., the tiles/blocks are stored in a memory, in order to be saved they have to be smaller in size than the memory. See Paragraph 31).

Having the system of Yoshito'249 and Katsuyama'919 and then given the wellestablished teaching of the Hattori'093, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Yoshito'249 and Katsuyama'919 as taught by the Hattori'093, since using it reduces the moire fringes and enable expression of high-quality multiple gradations for halftoning method as suggested in reference Hattori'093 Paragraph 10.

Regarding Claim 3, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 show a method wherein the memory is an internal memory of an image processing chip (i.e., halftoning circuit with internal memory. See Paragraph 145 in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 4, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 teaches a method wherein the internal memory is static random access memory (SRAM) (i.e., the internal memory used is an SRAM. See Paragraph 145 in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 8, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 shows a method wherein the block is an approximately zigzag shape (i.e., a virtual tile ((division blocks)) is provided with different shapes/zigzag. See Paragraphs 31, 89 and 93 and See Figures 2C and 2D in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 11, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 shows a method wherein the image data being filled are empty pixels (i.e., some blocks are filled with empty pixels. See Paragraph 9 and See Figure 3A and 3B in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 12, Yoshito'249 shows a halftone processing module for error diffusion (i.e., halftoning equipment to perform error diffusion. See Paragraph 13) for dividing an image into a plurality of blocks (i.e., an input image is divided into blocks side by side. See Paragraphs 11-14 and 43 also see Figure 2) and using an error diffusion method to perform halftone processing (i.e., halftoning equipment to perform error diffusion. See Paragraph 13), the module comprising: an image processing chip, which executes the error diffusion (i.e., the halftoning equipment executes the error diffusion. See Paragraphs 13).

Yoshito'249 fails to show the method where the filling image data being all pixels not processed in the final region of the block to the next adjacent block.

Katsuyama'919 teaches the method where the filling image data being all pixels not processed in the final region of the block to the next adjacent block (i.e., each block can be divided further considered as a group, the error diffusion is done from top left block and sequentially proceeds to the rest of the pixels/blocks, the original

divided block while the top left part is being processed the part of the block that hasn't been done yet at that moment is considered to be part of the processed block that will be done next as the beginning of another block. See Column 30, Lines 51-67 also See Figures 6 and 9).

Having the system of Yoshito'249 and then given the well-established teaching of the Katsuyama'919, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system as suggested by the combination of Yoshito'249 with the teachings of Katsuyama'919 by adding memory management method reserving the pixels that are not processed in the final region of the block to the next adjacent block, in order to accelerate image data conversion through the use of error diffusion see abstract.

The combination of Yoshito'249 and Katsuyama'919 fails to show an internal memory which is inside the chip to store the block to be processed and the image data filling in the initial region of the block, and an external memory, which is outside the chip for providing the internal memory with the pixels needed to fill the block.

Hattori'093 teaches an internal memory which is inside the chip to store the block to be processed and the image data filling in the initial region of the block (i.e., halftoning circuit with internal memory. See Paragraph 145) and an external memory, which is outside the chip for providing the internal memory with the pixels needed to fill the block (i.e., pixels are load from external image memory. See Paragraph 145).

Art Unit: 2625

Having the system of Yoshito'249 and Katsuyama'919 and then given the well-established teaching of the Hattori'093, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Yoshito'249 and Katsuyama'919 as taught by the Hattori'093, since using it reduces the moire fringes and enable expression of high-quality multiple gradations for halftoning method as suggested in reference Hattori'093 Paragraph 10.

Regarding Claim 13, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 teaches a halftone processing module wherein the internal memory is static random access memory (SRAM) (i.e., the internal memory used is an SRAM. See Paragraph 145 in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 14, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 shows the halftone processing module wherein the block to be processed has an approximately zigzag shape according to the error diffusion method (i.e., a virtual tile ((division blocks)) is provided with different shapes/zigzag. See Paragraphs 31, 89 and 93 and See Figures 2C and 2D in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 15, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 shows the halftone processing module wherein the image data filling in the initial region of the block are the image data that enable all the pixels in the initial region to be error diffused according to the error diffusion method (i.e., error diffusion is performed in each block and is offset to the next one following an order. See Paragraphs 12-13, 32 and 51 in reference Yoshito'249).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding Claim 18, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 show a halftone processing module wherein the image data being filled are empty pixels (i.e., some blocks are filled with empty pixels. See Paragraph 9 and See Figure 3A and 3B in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 19, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 shows a halftone processing module wherein the external memory is dynamic random access memory (DRAM) (i.e., the external image memory is an DRAM. See Paragraph 145 in reference Hattori'093).

Regarding Claim 20, the combination of Yoshito'249, Katsuyama'919 and Hattori'093 shows a method wherein the initial region is filled with a initial filling region, and if the image being processed is a part of a whole image, the initial filling region is filled an adjacent image, otherwise, the initial filling region is filled with empty pixels (i.e., some blocks are filled with empty pixels. See Paragraph 9 and See Figure 3A and 3B in reference Hattori'093).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IRIANA CRUZ whose telephone number is (571)270-3246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Y. Poon can be reached on (571) 272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,173 Page 10

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 Iriana Cruz Examiner Art Unit 2625

February 27, 2009

/I. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2625